

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND  
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of: Robert Longman

Serial No.: 10/716,909

Filed: 11/19/2003

Docket No.: 07-112

Examiner: Amee A. Shah

Art Unit: 3625

) I hereby certify that this correspondence is  
 ) being filed in the USPTO via the EFS-web  
 ) system on May 30, 2007.

) \_\_\_\_\_/Lawrence S. Cohen/  
 ) Lawrence S. Cohen

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION, DATED 04/30/2007**

This is a response to the Office Action dated April 30, 2007. If any extension of time is required for this response, such extension of time is requested. If any fee is required for this response, such fee may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1054.

In the original Requirement for Restriction, the Examiner distinguished the claims as method and apparatus. Applicant deleted the apparatus claims and added additional method claims. However, the election incorrectly elected the deleted claims 5-10.

The Examiner provided another Office Action which pointed out the error. This responds to that Office Action.

It was intended and is now requested that the method claims be elected, which are claims 1-4 and 11-27, all of which are method claims.

A listing of claims is attached, which listing is a duplicate of the previously submitted listing.

Law Offices of Lawrence S. Cohen  
10960 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1220  
Los Angeles, CA 90024  
Telephone: 310-231-6898  
Facsimile: 310-231-6899  
cohenlaw@coheniplaw.com

Respectfully submitted,

) \_\_\_\_\_/Lawrence S. Cohen/  
 ) Lawrence S. Cohen, Esq.  
 ) Attorney for Applicant  
 ) Date: May 30, 2007